Dr. U. Karuppiah, Department of Mathematics, St.Joseph's College, Tiruchirappalli-620 002.

# WELCOME

June 21, 2018

Chapter 1 : Introduction and Preliminaries

Chapter 1 : Introduction and Preliminaries

Chapter 2 : Some best proximity theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$  rational proximal contractive conditions in Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Chapter 1 : Introduction and Preliminaries

Chapter 2 : Some best proximity theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$  rational proximal contractive conditions in Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Chapter 3 : Best proximity points in Multiplicative Metric Spaces and Multivalued mappings on Metric Spaces.

Chapter 1 : Introduction and Preliminaries

Chapter 2 : Some best proximity theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$  rational proximal contractive conditions in Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Chapter 3 : Best proximity points in Multiplicative Metric Spaces and Multivalued mappings on Metric Spaces.

Chapter 4 :Fixed point theorems in Partial Metric spaces and Quasi Partial Metric Spaces.

Chapter 1 : Introduction and Preliminaries

Chapter 2 : Some best proximity theorems for  $\alpha - \psi$  rational proximal contractive conditions in Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Chapter 3 : Best proximity points in Multiplicative Metric Spaces and Multivalued mappings on Metric Spaces.

Chapter 4 :Fixed point theorems in Partial Metric spaces and Quasi Partial Metric Spaces.

Chapter 5 : Fixed point theorems in Multiplicative Cone *b*-Metric Spaces and Multivalued mappings on *b*- Metric Spaces

Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology and geometry. It has been a flourishing area of research for many mathematicians.

Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology and geometry. It has been a flourishing area of research for many mathematicians.

In particular, fixed point theorem has been applied in such field as mathematics engineering, physics, economics, game theory, biology and chemistry etc.

Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology and geometry. It has been a flourishing area of research for many mathematicians.

In particular, fixed point theorem has been applied in such field as mathematics engineering, physics, economics, game theory, biology and chemistry etc.

Let X be a non-empty set and T be a self map on X. A point  $x_0 \in X$  is called a fixed point of T if  $Tx_0 = x_0$ ; that is, a point which remains invariant under the transformation T is called a fixed point of T.

Fixed point theory is a beautiful mixture of analysis, topology and geometry. It has been a flourishing area of research for many mathematicians.

In particular, fixed point theorem has been applied in such field as mathematics engineering, physics, economics, game theory, biology and chemistry etc.

Let X be a non-empty set and T be a self map on X. A point  $x_0 \in X$  is called a fixed point of T if  $Tx_0 = x_0$ ; that is, a point which remains invariant under the transformation T is called a fixed point of T.

For example, let  $T : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be defined by  $Tx = \frac{2x}{7}$ . Then T(0) = 0 and hence 0 is a fixed point of T.

If T is not a self-mapping, it is plausible that the equation x = Tx has no solution. In this situation, we may find an element  $x \in X$  which is close to Tx in some sense.

If T is not a self-mapping, it is plausible that the equation x = Tx has no solution. In this situation, we may find an element  $x \in X$  which is close to Tx in some sense.

This point becomes a concept of best proximity point theorem. This theorem guarantees the existence of an element X such that

$$d(x, Tx) = inf \{ d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \} = d(A, B), A_0 = \{ a \in A : d(a, b) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } b \in B \}, B_0 = \{ b \in B : d(a, b) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } a \in A \},$$

then x is called a best proximity point of non-self mapping T.

If T is not a self-mapping, it is plausible that the equation x = Tx has no solution. In this situation, we may find an element  $x \in X$  which is close to Tx in some sense.

This point becomes a concept of best proximity point theorem. This theorem guarantees the existence of an element X such that

$$d(x, Tx) = \inf \{ d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B \} = d(A, B), A_0 = \{ a \in A : d(a, b) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } b \in B \}, B_0 = \{ b \in B : d(a, b) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } a \in A \},$$

then x is called a best proximity point of non-self mapping T.

If d(A, B) = 0, then a fixed point and best proximity point are same point. If the mapping under consideration is a self mapping, it may be observed that a best proximity point theorem boils down to the fixed point theorem under certain suitable conditions.

# Chapter 2

# Some best proximity theorems for $\alpha - \psi$ rational proximal contractive conditions in Multiplicative Metric Spaces.

Let X be a non-empty set. Multiplicative metric is a mapping  $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the following conditions such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ : (*p1*)  $d(x, y) \ge 1$  and d(x, y) = 1 iff x = y, (*p2*) d(x, y) = d(y, x),

 $(p3) \ d(x,z) \leq d(x,y).d(y,z)$ 

Then the function d is said to be a Multiplicative Metric on X and (X,d) is called a Multiplicative Metric Space.

Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space and A, B be two non-empty subsets of X. Let  $T : A \to B$  and  $\alpha : A \times A \to [0, \infty)$  be the functions. Then T is said to be  $(\alpha - \psi)$  rational proximal contraction, if for all  $x, y, u, v \in A$  and  $\psi \in \Psi_3$  such that

$$d(u, Tx) = d(A, B)$$
  
$$d(v, Ty) = d(A, B) \implies \alpha(x, y)d(u, v) \le \psi(M(x, y))$$

where,

()

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx).d(y,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} - d(A,B), \\ \frac{d(x,Ty).d(y,Tx)}{1+d(x,y)} - d(A,B), \frac{d(x,Ty).d(y,Tx)}{1+d(Tx,Ty)} - d(A,B)\}$$

三 のへぐ

Let A and B be a two non-empty closed subsets of a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are non-empty. Let the mappings  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $G : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions.

- (i) T is  $(\alpha \psi)$  rational proximal contraction mapping and T is an  $\alpha$ -proximal admissible mapping.
- (ii) g is an isometry
- (iii)  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$
- (iv)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ .
- (v) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A_0$  such that  $\alpha(gx_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  and  $gx_n \to gx \in A$ , then  $\alpha(x_n, gx) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (vi) There exists  $x_0$ ,  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$

Then T has a unique best proximity point if for every  $y, z \in A$  such that d(gy, Ty) = d(A, B) = d(gz, Tz) and  $\alpha(gy, gz) \ge 1$ .

Let A and B be a two nonempty closed subsets of a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty. Let the mappings  $\alpha, \eta : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $G : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions.

(i) T is  $(\alpha - \psi)$  rational proximal contraction mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . (ii) g is an isometry

- (*iii*)  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$
- (iv)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ .

(v) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A_0$  such that  $\alpha(gx_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(gx_n, x_{n+1})$  and  $gx_n \to gx \in A$ , then  $\eta(x_n, gx) \ge \eta(x_n, gx)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

There exists  $x_0$ ,  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge \eta(x_0, x_1)$ . Then T has a unique best proximity point if for every  $y, z \in A$  such that d(gy, Ty) = d(A, B) = d(gz, Tz) and  $\alpha(gy, gz) \ge \eta(gy, gz)$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト

Let A and B be a two nonempty closed subsets of a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty. Let the mappings  $\eta : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $G : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions.

- (i) T is  $\psi$  rational proximal contraction.
- (ii) g is an isometry
- (iii)  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$
- (iv)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and T is  $\eta$ -subadmissible.
- (v) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A_0$  such that  $\eta(gx_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 1$  and  $gx_n \rightarrow gx \in A$ , then  $\eta(x_n, gx) \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

There exists  $x_0$ ,  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(gx_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $\eta(x_0, x_1) \leq 1$  Then T has a unique best proximity point if for every  $y, z \in A$  such that d(gy, Ty) = d(A, B) = d(gz, Tz) and  $\eta(gy, gz) \leq 1$ .

Let A and B be a two nonempty closed subsets of a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty. Let the mappings  $\alpha, \eta : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : A \rightarrow B$  and  $G : A \rightarrow A$  satisfy the following conditions.

(i) T is  $(\alpha - \psi)$  rational proximal contraction mapping with respect to  $\eta$ . (ii)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ .

- (iii) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $A_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  and  $gx_n \to gx \in A$ , then  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (iv) There exists  $x_0$ ,  $x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(x_1, x_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge \eta(x_0, x_1)$

Then T has a unique best proximity point if for every  $y, z \in A$  such that d(y, Ty) = d(A, B) = d(z, Tz) and  $\alpha(y, z) \ge \eta(y, z)$ .

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Let T be a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) into itself and  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) T is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping.
- (ii) T is continuous
- (iii) g is an isometry and  $A \subseteq g(A)$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  and  $d(Tx, Ty) \le \psi(M(x, y))$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx).d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Ty)}\}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Let T be a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) into itself and  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) T is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping.
- (ii) T is continuous
- (iii) g is an isometry and  $A \subseteq g(A)$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  and  $d(Tx, Ty) \le k(M(x, y))$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx).d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(x, Ty).d(y, Tx)}{1 + d(x, Ty)}\}$$

ъ

JUNE 21, 2018

17 / 57

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Let T be a complete multiplicative metric space (X, d) into itself and  $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a given function satisfying the following conditions.

JUNE 21, 2018

18 / 57

- (i) T is  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping.
- (ii) T is continuous
- (iii) g is an isometry and  $A \subseteq g(A)$  such that  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  and  $d(Tx, Ty) \le kd(x, y)$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

# Chapter 3

# Best proximity points in Multiplicative Metric Spaces and Multivalued mappings on Metric Spaces.

# Chapter 3

In this chapter, we focus best proximity point theorems in multiplicative metric spaces satisfying multiplicative modified rational proximal contraction condition of the first kind and also prove best proximity points for multivalued Geometric F - contraction mappings.

Let (X, d) be a multiplicative metric space. Let A and B be a nonempty subsets of X. Then  $T : A \to B$  is called a multiplicative modified rational proximal contraction of the first kind if there exists a non-negative real numbers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  with  $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$  such that the conditions

$$d(u_1,\mathit{Tx}_1)=d(A,B)$$
 and  $d(u_2,\mathit{Tx}_2)=d(A,B)$ 

This implies  $d(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{d(x_1, x_2)^{\alpha} . [d(x_1, u_1) . d(x_2, u_2)]^{\beta + \gamma} . [d(x_1, u_2) . d(x_2, u_1)]^{\delta}}{d(x_1, x_2)}$ for all  $u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2 \in A$ 

JUNE 21, 2018

21 / 57

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Let A, B be a nonempty closed subsets of X such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty and B is approximately compact with respect to A. Suppose that  $T : A \to B$  and  $g : A \to A$  satisfy the following conditions:

- *a) T* is a multiplicative modified rational proximal contraction of the first kind
- b)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$
- c) g is an isometry
- d)  $A_0 \subseteq g(A_0)$

Then there exists a unique point  $x \in A$  such that

$$d(gx, Tx) = d(A, B)$$

Moreover for any fixed  $x_0 \in A_0$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is defined by  $d(gx_n, Tx_{n-1}) = d(A, B)$  converges to the element x.

Let (X, d) be a complete multiplicative metric space. Let A, B be a nonempty closed subsets of X such that  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are nonempty and B is approximately compact with respect to A. Suppose that  $T : A \rightarrow B$  satisfy the following conditions:

- a) T is a multiplicative modified rational proximal contraction of the first kind
- b)  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$

Then there exists a unique point  $x \in A$  such that

d(x,Tx)=d(A,B)

JUNE 21, 2018

23 / 57

Moreover for any fixed  $x_0 \in A_0$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is defined by  $d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) = d(A, B)$  converges to the element x.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let  $C_b(X)$  be the family of all non-empty closed bounded subsets of a metric space (X, d). The Hausdorff metric induced by d on  $C_b(X)$  is given by

$$H(A,B) = max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a,B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b,A) \right\}$$

for every  $A, B \in C_b(X)$ , where  $d(a, B)=\inf\{d(a, b) : b \in B\}$  is the distance from a to  $B \subseteq X$ .

Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d). The ordered pair (A, B) satisfies the property  $UC^{**}$  if (A, B) has property UC and the following conditions holds: If  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  are sequences in A and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in B satisfying

• 
$$d(z_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(A, B)$$
 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

**2** For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_m, y_n) \leq \varepsilon d(A, B)$$

for all  $m > n \ge N$ 

then  $d(x_n, z_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let A and B be non-empty subsets of a metric space. Let  $T : A \to 2^B$  and  $S : B \to 2^A$  be multivalued mappings. The ordered pair (T, S) is said to be a multivalued Geometric F - contraction if there exists  $F \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that  $H(Tx, Sy) > 0 \Longrightarrow 2\tau + F(H(Tx, Sy)) \leq F(d(x, y)^{\alpha} dist(A, B)^{1-\alpha})$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Let A and B be non-empty closed subsets of a complete metric space X such that (A, B) and (B, A) satisfy the property  $UC^{**}$ . Let  $T : A \to C_b(B)$  and  $S : B \to C_b(A)$ . If (T, S) is a multivalued geometric F-contraction pair, then T has a best proximity point in A (or) S has a best proximity point in B.

## Chapter 4

# Fixed point theorems in Partial Metric Spaces and Quasi Partial Metric Spaces.

## Chapter 4

In this chapter, we prove some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces and quasi partial metric spaces.

A partial metric on a nonempty set X is a mapping  $p: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :  $(p1) \ x = y \iff p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y),$  $(p2) \ p(x, x) \le p(x, y),$  $(p3) \ p(x, y) = p(y, x),$  $(p4) \ p(x, y) \le p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$ A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) such that X is a nonempty set and p is a partial metric on X.

(see [1]) Two self mappings f and g of a set X are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, if fx = gx for some  $x \in X$ , then fgx = gfx.

#### Definition

(see [1]) Let  $(X,p,\preccurlyeq)$  be a partially ordered set. Two elements x,y of X are called comparable if  $x \preccurlyeq y$  (or)  $y \preccurlyeq x$  holds.

#### Definition

(see [1]) Let  $(X,p,\preccurlyeq)$  be a partially ordered set.A mappings f is called weak annihilator of g if  $fgx{\preccurlyeq}x$  for all  $x\in X$ 

Let f,g,S and T be self maps on a partial metric space (X, p),then f and g are said to satisfy almost generalized (S,T)-contractive condition if there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

 $p(fx,gy) \leq \delta M(x,y)$ 

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,where  $M(x, y) = max\{p(Sx, Ty), p(fx, Sx), p(gy, Ty), \alpha(p(Sx, gy) + p(fx, Ty))\},\ \alpha \in (0,1).$ 

Let f,g,S and T be self maps on a partial metric space (X,p),then f and g are said to satisfy almost generalized (S,T)-contractive condition if there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

 $p(fx,gy) \leq \delta M(x,y)$ 

for all x,y  $\in$  X,where M(x,y)=max{p(Sx,Ty),p(gx,Sx),p(gy,Ty), $\frac{p(Sx,Ty) + p(fx,Sy)}{2}$ }

Let f,g,S and T be self maps on a partial metric space (X,p),then f and g are said to satisfy almost generalized (S,T)-contractive condition if there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$p(fx,gy) \leq \delta M(x,y)$$

for all x,y 
$$\in$$
 X,where  

$$M(x,y) = \psi\{max\{p(Sx,Ty), p(fx,Sx), p(gy,Ty), \frac{p(Sx,gy) + p(fx,Ty)}{2}\}\},$$
where  $\psi: R^+ \to (0,1)$  and satisfies  $0 \le \psi(t) \le t$  for  $t > 0$ .

Let  $(X,p,\preccurlyeq)$  be an complete ordered partial metric space.Let f,g,S and T be self maps on X,with  $f(X)\subseteq T(X)$  and  $g(X)\subseteq S(X)$  and dominating maps f and g are weakly annihilators of T and S respectively. Suppose that f and g satisfy almost generalised (S,T)- contractive condition

 $p(fx,gy) \leq \delta M(x,y)$ 

for every two comparable elements  $x, y \in X$ . If for a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \preccurlyeq y_n$  for all n and  $y_n \rightarrow u$ implies that  $x_n \preccurlyeq u$  and further more.

(a1)  $\{f, S\}$  and  $\{g, T\}$  are weakly compatible,

(a2) one of f(x), g(x), S(x) and T(x) is a closed subspace of X

then f,g,S and T have a common fixed point. Moreover, the set of common fixed points of f,g,S,T is well ordered iff f,g,S and T have one and only one common fixed point.

#### Corollary

Let  $(X,p,\preccurlyeq)$  be an complete ordered partial metric space.Let f and T be self maps on X,with  $f(X)\subseteq T(X)$  and dominating map f is weakly annihilators of T. Suppose that there exists  $\delta \in (0,1)$  such that

 $p(fx, fy) \leq \delta M(x, y)$ 

where

 $M(x,y) = \max\{p(Tx,Ty),p(fx,Tx),p(fy,Ty),\alpha(p(Tx,fy)+p(fx,Ty))\}\$ for every two comparable elements  $x,y \in X$ . If for a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \preccurlyeq y_n$  for all n and  $y_n \rightarrow u$  implies that  $x_n \preccurlyeq u$  and further more.

(a1)  $\{f, T\}$  is weakly compatible.

(a2) one of f(x) and T(x) is a closed subspace of X.

then f and T have a common fixed point.

#### Corollary

Let  $(X,p,\preccurlyeq)$  be an complete ordered partial metric space.Let S and T be surjective self maps on X,such that  $S(x) \preccurlyeq x$  and  $T(x) \preccurlyeq x$  for all  $x \in X$ , and Suppose that there exists  $\delta \in (0,1)$  such that

 $p(x,y) \leq \delta M(x,y)$ 

#### where

 $M(x,y) = \max\{p(Sx,Ty), p(x,Sx), p(y,Ty), \alpha(p(Sx,y)+p(x,Ty))\}\$ for every two comparable elements  $x, y \in X$ . If for a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  with  $x_n \preccurlyeq y_n$  for all n and  $y_n \rightarrow u$  implies that  $x_n \preccurlyeq u$ , then Sand T have a common fixed point.

JUNE 21, 2018

37 / 57

A quasi-partial metric space on a nonempty set X is a function  $q: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ : (p1) If q(x, x) = q(x, y) = q(y, y) then x = y, (p2)  $q(x, x) \leq q(x, y)$ , (p3) q(x, x) = q(y, x), (p4)  $q(x, z) \leq q(x, y) + q(y, z) - q(y, y)$ 

Let T be a self mapping and  $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function. Then T is said to be  $\alpha$  - Orbital admissible if

$$\alpha(x, Tx) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq 1$$

JUNE 21, 2018 39 / 57

Let (X, q) be a quasi-partial metric space.where X is a non-empty set. we say that X is said to be  $\alpha$ -left-regular if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\alpha(x_{n+1}, x_n) \ge 1$  for all n and  $x_n \to x \in X$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x, x_{n(k)}) \ge 1$  for all k.

#### Definition

Analogously, a quasi-partial metric space X is said to be an  $\alpha$ -right-regular if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all n and  $x_n \to x \in X$  as  $n \to \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \ge 1$  for all k.

#### Definition

We say that X is regular if it is both  $\alpha$ -left-regular and  $\alpha$ -right-regular.

Let (X,q) be a complete quasi partial metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. Assuming that there exists  $\psi \in \Psi$  and a function  $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ 

 $\alpha(x,y)q(Tx,Ty) \leq \psi(M(x,y))$ 

Also suppose that the following assertions hold: (i) T is triangular  $\alpha$ -orbital admissible. (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \ge 1$  and  $\alpha(Tx_0, x_0) \ge 1$ (iii) T is continuous (or) X is  $\alpha$ -regular. Then T has a fixed point  $u \in X$  and q(u,u)=0.

### Chapter 5

### Some fixed point theorems on Multiplicative Cone-*b* metric spaces and Multi-valued mappings on *b*- metric spaces

### Chapter 5

In this Chapter, we prove some fixed theorems using some Multiplicative contractive conditions in multiplicative cone b - metric spaces and also we prove a common fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in *b*-metric spaces which is a generalization of a Reich type contraction.

Let X be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$  be a given positive real number. A mapping  $d : X \times X \to E$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :  $(p1) \ d(x, y) \ge 1$  and d(x, y) = 1 iff x = y,  $(p2) \ d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ,  $(p3) \ d(x, y) \le [d(x, z).d(z, y)]^s$ Then the function d is said to be a multiplicative cone b-metric on X and (X,d) is called a multiplicative cone b-metric space.

Let (X, d) be a Complete multiplicative cone b - metric space with power  $s \ge 1$ . Suppose the mapping  $T : X \to X$  satisfies the following Kannan contractive condition,

 $d(Tx, Ty) \leq (d(Tx, x).d(Ty, y))^{\lambda}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ 

where  $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  is a constant. Then T has a unique fixed point in X and for any  $x \in X$ , iterative sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to the fixed point.

Let (X, d) be a Complete multiplicative cone b - metric space with power  $s \ge 1$ . Suppose the mapping  $T : X \to X$  satisfies the contractive condition,

$$d(\mathit{Tx},\mathit{Ty}) \leq (d(\mathit{Tx},y).d(\mathit{Ty},x))^{\lambda}$$
 for all  $x,y \in X$ 

where  $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$  is a constant. Then T has a unique fixed point in X and for any  $x \in X$ , iterative sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to the fixed point.

Let (X, d) be a complete cone b- metric space with metric d and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a function with the following condition,

 $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, Tx)^p d(y, Ty)^q d(x, y)^r$ ,

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where p, q, r are non-negative real numbers and satisfy  $p + (q + r)^s < 1$  for  $s \ge 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

Let X be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$  be a given positive real number. A mapping  $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :  $(p1) \ d(x, y) \ge 0$  and d(x, y) = 0 iff x = y,  $(p2) \ d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ,  $(p3) \ d(x, y) \le s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ Then the function d is said to be a b-metric on X and (X,d) is called a b-metric space.

JUNE 21, 2018

48 / 57

Let (X, d) be a *b*-metric space with constant  $s \ge 1$ . A map  $T: X \to CB(X)$  is said to be multivalued generalized contraction if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Ty) + c(d(y, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and a, b, c are non-negative with a + b + 2c < 1.

Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with constant  $s \ge 1$ . Let  $T : X \to CB(X)$  is said to be multivalued generalized contraction mapping. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Let (X, d) be a complete b-metric space with constant  $s \ge 1$ . Let  $T: X \to CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping satisfied the condition.

 $H(Tx, Sy) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Sy) + c(d(y, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty))$ 

for all  $x, y \in X$  and a, b, c are non-negative with a + b + 2c < 1. Then T & S have a unique common fixed point.

### List of Publications

- 1. U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Some fixed point theorems satisfying (S, T) contractive condition in partially ordered partial metric space, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences(FJMS), Volume 95, No.1, 2014, pp 19-50.ISSN 0972-0871.
- 2. U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Some theorems on  $\alpha \psi$  quasi contractive on quasi partial metric space, International Journal of Mathematical Archive-7(10), 2016, 185-191.ISSN 2229-5046.
- 3 . U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, On best proximity points for multivalued geometric F - contraction mappings, International Journal of Scientific and Engineering research, Vol: 8, Issue 7, July 2017.
- 4 . U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Fixed Point theorems for multiplicative Contraction mappings on multiplicative Cone-b metric spaces, International journal of mathematics trends and technology, Volume 8, Part 2,Number 3,August 2017, PP 8-12. ISSN 2231-5373.

### List of Publications

- 5 . U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Best proximity points for multiplicative modified rational proximal contraction mapping on multiplicative metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematics and its applications.[Accepted]
- 6 . U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Best proximity points theorems for  $(\alpha \psi)$  rational proximal contractions mappings in multiplicative metric spaces, International Journal of Engineering ,Science and Mathematics(IJESM), Vol-6, Issue 7, Nov -2017. ISSN 2320-0294.
- 7 . U.Karuppiah and A.Mary Priya Dharsini, Common Fixed Point Theorem for Multivalued Mappings on *b*-metric spaces, Journal of Global Research Mathematical Archieves[Accepted]

### REFERENCES

- N.Shobkolaeia, S.Sedghi, J.R.Roshanb, I.Altunc, Common fixed point of mappings satisfying almost generalized (S,T)-Contractive condition in partially ordered partial metric spaces
- Konrawut Khammahawong<sup>a</sup>, Parinya Sa Ngiamsunthorn<sup>b</sup>, Poom Kumam<sup>a,b,c</sup>, On best proximity points for multivalued cyclic F contraction mappings, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis Application, 7(2016) No.2, 363-374, ISBN: 2008-6822 (electronic).
- Frdal Karpinar ,Leila Gholizadeh, Hamed H Alsulami and Maha Noorwali:  $\alpha (\chi, \phi)$  contractive mappings on quasi-partial metric spaces(2015)
- Akbar Zada, Rahim Shah and Shahid Saifullah, ' Some Fixed point Theorems in Multiplicative cone - b metric spaces', Journal of Analysis and Number theory., 5, No.1, 35 - 39 (2017)

- Chirasak MongKolkeha, Wutiphol Sintunavarat, *Best proximity points for multiplicative proximal contraction mapping on multiplicative metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, 8(2015), 1134-1140.
- Vinita Dewangan and A.Banerjee, P.Koushik ,Generalized ( $\alpha - \psi$ )-proximal contraction mappings and related Best Proximity Point, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, ISSN(0973-1768) volume 13,Number 8(2017),pp,4119-4133.
- Parveen Kumar ,Shin Min Kang,Sanjay Kumar,Chahn Yong Jung,Fixed point theorems for generalized  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -contractive mappings in multiplicative metric spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 113 No.5 2017,595-607.
- M.R. Haddadi, Best Proximity point and Geometric contraction maps, Journal of Mathematical extension, Vol. 8, No.2,(2014) 25-31.

- Chanakan Jinakul, Araya Wiwatwaish, Annop Kaewkhao(2017), Common fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings on b-metric spaces, International Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics, vol:113, No.1, 167-179.
- S. Reich, Some problems and results in fixed point theory, Contemporary Mathematics, 21(1983), 170-187. doi:10.1090/comm/021.
- S.B. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 30, No.2(1969), 475-488.
- M.R. Haddadi, Best Proximity point and Geometric contraction maps, Journal of Mathematical extension, Vol. 8, No.2,(2014) 25-31.

# THANK YOU

э